Table of Contents

Table of Contents 1
MS4 Annual Report/Interim Progress Certification 2026 2
(Submission #: HQJ-CHV8-W3EWG, version 1) 2
Details 2
Form Input 2
MS4 Operator Information 2
Part IV 2
Part V 3
Part VI 3
Part VIII 12
Part IX 13
Interim Progress Status 13
Certification 13
Attachments 14
Status History 14
Processing Steps 14

MS4 Annual Report/Interim Progress Certification&#65533;2026

version 1.1

(Submission #: HQJ-CHV8-W3EWG, version 1)

Details

Submission Alias	Queensbury MS4 Annual Report/Interim Progress Certification&#65533;2026
Submitted	3/20/2026 (0 days ago) by Nicholas Rowell
Alternate Identifier	NYR20A112
Submission ID	HQJ-CHV8-W3EWG
Status	Deemed Complete

Form Input

MS4 Operator Information

Permit ID #:
NYR20A112

Municipality Name or Legal Entity Name
Town of Queensbury

Report Preparer

Report Preparer Title	First and Last Name	Phone	Email
Other: Warren Co SWCD	Nick Rowell	5186233119	NickR@warrenswcd.org
Stormwater Program Coordinator	Craig Brown	5187618218	CraigB@queensbury.net

MS4 Operator Type
Traditional land use control

Part IV

Was the information in this section completed as part of a coalition/group?
No

MS4 General Permit Resources

Use the following webpages for more information on the permit and fact sheet:
MS4 Permit Webpage

MS4 Toolbox

SWMP Plan

Annually: Have the alternative implementation agreements in the SWMP Plan been updated? (Part IV.A.1.e.)
Yes

Annually: Has the SWMP been updated? (Part IV.B.3.)
Yes

Mapping

Annually: Has the comprehensive system mapping been updated? (Part IV.D.)
Yes

What tools are used to satisfy the comprehensive system mapping requirements? (e.g. paper maps, GIS, web mappers, etc.)
GIS, Survey123, Field Maps, web mappers, PDFs, paper maps

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has Phase I of the comprehensive mapping been completed? (Part IV.D.2.a.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2 and the majority of the mapping updates are complete, this task will be completed within three years.

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Has Phase II of the comprehensive mapping been completed? (Part IV.D.2.b.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed within five years.

Legal Authority

Within three (3) years of the EDC: For newly designated MS4 Operators, has adequate legal authority been developed and implemented? (Part IV.E.)
N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Queensbury is not a newly designated MS4

Enforcement Tracking

This reporting year, how many enforcement actions were undertaken for illicit discharges (e.g. verbal warnings, citations, stop work orders)? (Part IV.F.2.)
0.0

This reporting year, how many enforcement actions were undertaken for construction sites (e.g. verbal warnings, citations, stop work orders)? (Part IV.F.2.)
0.0

This reporting year, how many enforcement actions were undertaken for post-construction SMPs (e.g. verbal warnings, citations, stop work orders)? (Part IV.F.2.)
37.0

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:
The 37 enforcement actions were all attributed to ﷺFAILEDﷺ Post Construction Stormwater Inspections for projects terminated by the NYSDEC on March 17, 2025, for failing to submit for REQUEST FOR CONTINUED COVERAGE (some dating back to 2003). Unfortunately, the vast majority of those projects had not been maintaining their stormwater systems per their approved SWPPP, and the vast majority of those ﷺFAILEDﷺ projects were failed due to trees and other vegetation growing within their stormwater basins. Follow-up enforcement actions have resulted in a great majority of them being brought into compliance, while the remaining few are still working towards being compliant.

Part V

In Year 5: Has the SWMP Plan been evaluated? (Part V.C.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 5

Part VI

Which MCMs in this Part were completed as a coalition/group, if any?
NONE PROVIDED

Minimum Control Measure 1

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the focus areas been identified? (Part VI.A.1.a.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2 and focus areas are identified but need updating for the new permit, this task will be completed within three years.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the target audience(s) and associated pollutant generating activities been identified? (Part VI.A.1.b.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2 and target audiences are identified but need updating for the new permit, this task will be completed within three years.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the education and outreach topics been identified and how the education and outreach topics will reduce the potential for pollutants explained? (Part VI.A.1.c.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2 and E/O topics are identified but need updating for the new permit, this task will be completed within three years.

In Year 5: Has the method(s) used for distribution of educational messages been identified? (Part VI.A.2.a.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 5.

In Year 5: Has one educational message been delivered to each target audience(s) for each focus area based on the education and outreach topic(s)? (Part VI.A.2.b.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 5.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have target audiences, focus areas, and/or education and outreach topics been updated? (Part VI.A.2.c.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 4 and 5.

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:
NONE PROVIDED

Minimum Control Measure 2

Annually: Has an opportunity for public involvement/participation in the development and implementation of the SWMP been provided? (Part VI.B.1.a.)
Yes

What was the opportunity for public involvement/participation in the SWMP?
Public hearings or meetings
Coordination with other pre-existing public involvement/participation opportunities
Stewardship activities

Annually: Has the public been informed about the opportunity for their involvement in the development and implementation of the SWMP and how they can get involved? (Part VI.B.1.b.)
Yes

What is the method(s) used for distribution to inform the public of the opportunity for involvement?
Printed materials (e.g., mail inserts, brochures and newsletters)
Mass media (e.g., newspapers, public service announcements on radio or cable)
Social Media (e.g., Facebook, Twitter, blogs)
Electronic materials (e.g., websites, email listservs)

Annually: Has an opportunity to review and comment on the publicly available SWMP Plan been provided? (Part VI.B.2.a.)
Yes

Annually: Has an opportunity to review and comment on the draft annual report been provided? (Part VI.B.2.b.i.)
Yes

What opportunity for review and comment on the draft annual report has been provided?
Presentation of the draft Annual Report
Posting of draft Annual Report on a public website

Annually: Have the comments received on the SWMP Plan been summarized? (Part VI.B.2.c.i.)
N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
The SWMP Plan is posted for comments on the SWCD website year-round. No public comments were received in 2025.

Annually: Have the comments received on the draft annual report been summarized? (Part VI.B.2.c.i.)
N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
No public comments were received in 2025.

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:
NONE PROVIDED

Minimum Control Measure 3

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has an inventory of monitoring locations been developed? (Part VI.C.1.c.i.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed within three years.

How many MS4 outfalls are on the inventory?
24

How many interconnections are on the inventory?
4

How many municipal facility intraconnections are on the inventory?
0

In Year 4 and Year 5: Has the monitoring location inventory been updated? (Part VI.C.1.c.ii.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Years 4 and 5.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have monitoring locations been prioritized? (Part VI.C.1.d.i.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed within three years.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Has the monitoring location prioritization been updated? (Part VI.C.1.d.iii.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 4 and 5.

Within two (2) years of the EDC: Has a monitoring locations inspection and sampling program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.C.1.e.)
Yes

In Year 5: Every five (5) years following the most recent inspection, have all the monitoring locations been inspected? (Part VI.C.1.e.i.a))
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed before Year 5.

Every five (5) years following the most recent inspection, how many monitoring locations have been inspected?
25

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's monitoring locations inspection and sampling procedures been provided? (Part VI.C.1.e.ii.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed before Year 5.

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received monitoring locations inspection and sampling training been updated? (Part VI.C.1.e.iii.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, will be completed in Year 3, 4, 5

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the monitoring locations inspection and sampling procedures been updated? (Part VI.C.1.e.iv.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, will be completed in Year 3, 4, 5

Within two (2) years of the EDC: Has an illicit discharge track down program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.C.2.)
Yes

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's illicit discharge track down procedures prior to conducting illicit discharge track down been provided? (Part VI.C.2.b.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2 and a training was held on the new procedures, this task will be completed before Year 5.

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received illicit discharge track down procedures training been updated? (Part VI.C.2.c.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, will be completed in Year 3, 4, 5

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the illicit discharge track down procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.C.2.d.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, will be completed in Year 3, 4, 5

Within two (2) years of the EDC: Has an illicit discharge elimination program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.C.3.)
Yes

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's illicit discharge elimination procedures prior to conducting illicit discharge elimination been provided? (Part VI.C.3.b.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2 and a training was held on the new procedures, this task will be completed before Year 5.

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received illicit discharge elimination procedures training been updated? (Part VI.C.3.c.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2 and information was updated. Will also be completed in Year 3, 4, 5

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the illicit discharge elimination procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.C.3.d.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2 and information was updated. Will also be completed in Year 3, 4, 5

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:
NONE PROVIDED

Minimum Control Measure 4

Within one (1) year of the EDC: Has a construction oversight program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.D.3)
Yes

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's construction oversight procedures prior to conducting construction oversight been provided? (Part VI.D.3.b.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, will be completed in Year 5

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received construction oversight procedures training been updated? (Part VI.D.3.c.)
Yes

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the construction oversight procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.D.3.e.)
Yes

Annually: Has the inventory of construction sites been updated? (Part VI.D.4.b.)
Yes

How many construction sites are on the inventory?
50

Within one (1) year of the EDC: Have construction sites been prioritized? (Part VI.D.5.a.)
Yes

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Has the construction site prioritization been updated? (Part VI.D.5.c.)
Yes

How many high priority construction sites are on the inventory?
6

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the individuals responsible for reviewing SWPPPs for acceptance received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity prior to conducting SWPPP reviews and/or approvals? (Part VI.D.6.a.i.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, ten individuals have been trained and this task will be completed within three years.

Annually: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity, for individuals responsible for reviewing SWPPPs been updated? (Part VI.D.6.d.)
Yes

Are pre-construction meetings conducted prior to the commencement of construction activity? (Part VI.D.7.)
Yes

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the individuals responsible for construction site inspections received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity prior to conducting construction site inspections? (Part VI.D.8.a.i.)
Yes

Annually: Have all sites with construction activity identified in the inventory been inspected during active construction after the pre-construction meeting, or sooner if deficiencies are noted that require attention? (Part VI.D.8.c.)
Yes

Annually: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity, for individuals responsible for construction site inspections been updated? (Part VI.D.8.d.)
Yes

Are final construction site inspections conducted? (Part VI.D.9.)
Yes

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:
The initial number on the MCM4 inventory was 64. However, 15 of the 64 were terminated during 2025, and were then inventoried under the MCM5 requirements.

Minimum Control Measure 5

Annually: Has the inventory of post-construction SMPs been updated? (Part VI.E.2.c.)
Yes

How many post-construction SMPs are on the inventory?
92

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Have the required components been included in the post-construction SMP inventory? (Part VI.E.2.d.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, will be completed within five years.

Within one (1) year of the EDC: Has a post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.E.4.)
Yes

Has each post-construction SMP identified in the inventory been inspected at the required frequency? (Part VI.E.4.a.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Due to the termination of all permits issued since 2003 on March 27, 2025 for those projects that were still open, but did not apply for a Request for Continued Coverage, 37 more projects were then mandated to be inspected per the MCM5 requirements. The town regularly monitors the NYSDEC's website for status changes to the state permits (a minimum of once a month). The unexpected termination of so many permits was not discovered until mid-April of 2025; therefore, resulting in the failure to inspect all of the newly terminated permits (in addition to the previously terminated permits) by the end of 2025. The town has created a priority list for 2026 to ensure all terminated projects are inspected by the end of year 2026.

How many post-construction SMPs have been inspected at the required frequency?
56

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance procedures prior to conducting post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance been provided? (Part VI.E.4.b.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, will be completed before Year 5.

Annually: Have names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance procedures training updated? (Part VI.E.4.c.)
Yes

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.E.4.d.)
Yes

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:
NONE PROVIDED

Minimum Control Measure 6

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have best management practices (BMPs) been incorporated into the municipal facility program and municipal operations program? (Part VI.F.1.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
The Town incorporate BMPs into their programs and will meet permit standards within three years.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has a municipal facility program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.F.2.a.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, program is being implemented and will be completed within three years.

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's municipal facility procedures prior to conducting municipal facility procedures been provided? (Part VI.F.2.a.ii.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 5.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received municipal facility procedures training been updated? (Part VI.F.2.a.iii.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Year 2 contact information has been updated, this task will be completed in Year 4 and 5.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the municipal facility procedures been updated? (Part VI.F.2.a.iv.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 4 and 5.

Within two (2) years of the EDC: Has a municipal facility inventory been developed? (Part VI.F.2.b.i.)
Yes

How many municipal facilities are on the inventory?
31

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Has the municipal facility inventory been updated? (Part VI.F.2.b.ii.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 3, 4, and 5.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the municipal facilities been prioritized? (Part VI.F.2.c.i.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, facilities are currently being prioritized and this task will be completed within three years.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Has the municipal facility prioritization been updated? (Part VI.F.2.c.iii.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 4 and 5.

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Has a municipal facility specific SWPPP for each high priority municipal facility been developed? (Part VI.F.2.d.i.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed within five years.

How many municipal facility specific SWPPPs for high priority municipal facilities have been developed?
0

In Year 5: Has all wet weather visual monitoring of the monitoring locations at all high priority municipal facilities been conducted? (Part VI.F.2.d.ii.a))
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 5.

At how many high priority municipal facilities was wet weather visual monitoring completed?
0

At how many monitoring locations was wet weather visual monitoring completed?
0

In Year 5: Has a comprehensive site assessment for each high priority municipal facility been completed? (Part VI.F.2.d.ii.c))
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 5.

At how many high priority municipal facilities was a comprehensive site assessment completed?
1

In Year 5: Has a comprehensive site assessment for each low priority municipal facility been completed? (Part VI.F.2.e.ii.c))
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 5.

At how many low priority municipal facilities was a comprehensive site assessment completed?
30

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has a municipal operations program been developed? (Part VI.F.3.a.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed within three years.

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's municipal operations procedures prior to conducting municipal operations been provided? (Part VI.F.3.a.ii.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 5.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received municipal operations procedures training been updated? (Part VI.F.3.a.iii.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2 and contact information has been updated, this task will be completed in Year 4 and 5.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the municipal operations procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.F.3.a.iv.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 4 and 5.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have catch basins in need of inspection been identified? (Part VI.F.3.c.i.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2 and this task will be updated with the existing catch basin plan, this task will be completed within three years.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has catch basin inspection information been inventoried? (Part VI.F.3.c.ii.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2 and this task will be updated with the existing catch basin inventory, this task will be completed within three years.

In Year 5: Have all streets, bridges, parking lots, and right of ways been swept? (Part VI.F.3.d.i.a))
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2 and all streets have been swept and will be working the new requirements, this task will be completed before Year 5.

What percentage of the total streets, bridges, parking lots, and right of ways have been swept?
90

Annually: Have all streets in business districts and commercial areas been swept? (Part VI.F.3.d.i.b))
Yes

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Have roads, bridges, parking lots, and right of way maintenance specific BMPs been implemented? (Part VI.F.3.d.ii.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed within five years.

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Have winter road maintenance specific BMPs been implemented? (Part VI.F.3.d.iii.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed within five years.

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:
For the municipal facility inventory questions, some facilities have multiple parcels making up a single facility with one inspection form. The inventory database in separated by parcel. The one high priority facility is four parcels.

Part VIII

Does the MS4 Operator discharge to an impaired water listed in Appendix C of GP-0-24-001?
Yes

For which pollutant(s) is the waterbody impaired? Select the pollutants for all the impaired waters listed in Appendix C of GP-0-24-001 to which the MS4 Operator discharges.
Silt/Sediment

Which requirements in this Part were completed as a coalition/group, if any?
NONE PROVIDED

Silt/Sediment

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has the comprehensive system mapping been updated, in a geographic information system (GIS), to include MS4 infrastructure and sewershed information for each MS4 outfall and ADA MS4 outfall discharging to a silt/sediment impaired water listed in Appendix C? (Part VIII.B.1.a.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, mapping is being updated and this task will be completed within three years.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has the comprehensive system mapping been updated, in a geographic information system (GIS), to include facilities with SPDES permit coverage under the MSGP with stormwater discharges applicable under Sector C, E, L, or J with facility contact? (Part VIII.B.1.b.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed within three years.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has the comprehensive system mapping been updated, in a geographic information system (GIS), to include ADA MS4 outfalls discharging to a silt/sediment impaired water listed in Appendix C? (Part VIII.B.1.c.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2 and the ADA outfalls have been updated, this task will be completed within three years.

Minimum Control Measure 1

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have individuals involved in construction activity within the sewershed boundary been educated on the use of post-construction SMPs that are intended to collect and separate silt and sediment debris from stormwater before discharging to waters of the State? (Part VIII.B.2.b.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 4 and 5.

Minimum Control Measure 3

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Has the number of each item listed in Part VIII.B.1.b. been included on the MS4 outfall inventory for each associated MS4 outfall? (Part VIII.B.4.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed within five years.

Minimum Control Measure 4

How many high priority construction sites discharge to the silt/sediment impaired water(s)?
1

Have high priority construction sites that discharge to the silt/sediment impaired water(s) been inspected by the MS4 Operator at the required frequency? (Part VIII.B.5.)
Yes

Minimum Control Measure 6

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have all streets located in sewersheds discharging to silt/sediment impaired segments been swept? (Part VIII.B.7.a.)
No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
Reporting on Year 2, this task will be completed in Year 4 and 5.

What percentage of streets located in sewersheds discharging to silt/sediment impaired segments have been swept?
100

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:
NONE PROVIDED

Part IX

Does the MS4 Operator discharge to a TMDL listed in Table 3 of GP-0-24-001?
No

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:
NONE PROVIDED

Interim Progress Status

Interim Progress Resources

Use the following webpages for more information on the permit and fact sheet:
MS4 Permit Webpage

MS4 Toolbox

Have you reviewed compliance items due within three years of EDC?
Yes

Have you reviewed compliance items due within four years of EDC?
Yes

Have you reviewed compliance items due within five years of EDC?
Yes

Have you reviewed compliance items which need to be completed routinely (annually, every five (5) years, etc.)?
Yes

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section.
NONE PROVIDED

Certification

The ranking elected official or Principal Executive Officer for the MS4 Operator will be signing the form.
No

Attach completed certification form.
Signed Qby MS4 Operator Cert Form 03.09.2026.pdf - 03/19/2026 04:08 PM
Comment
NONE PROVIDED

Duly Authorized Form

Attach completed duly authorized form or written authorization.
Signed MS4 Duly Authorized Rep Form 03.09.2026.pdf - 03/19/2026 04:08 PM
Comment
NONE PROVIDED

Attachments

Date	Attachment Name	Context	User
3/19/2026 4:08 PM	Signed MS4 Duly Authorized Rep Form 03.09.2026.pdf	Attachment	Nicholas Rowell
3/19/2026 4:08 PM	Signed Qby MS4 Operator Cert Form 03.09.2026.pdf	Attachment	Nicholas Rowell

Status History

	User	Processing Status
1/5/2026 2:15:46 PM	Nicholas Rowell	Draft
3/20/2026 9:48:52 AM	Nicholas Rowell	Submitting
3/20/2026 9:49:03 AM	Nicholas Rowell	Submitted
3/20/2026 9:49:03 AM	Nicholas Rowell	Deemed Complete

Processing Steps

Step Name	Assigned To/Completed By	Date Completed
Form Submitted	Nicholas Rowell	3/20/2026 9:49:03 AM
Accepted	Nicholas Rowell	3/20/2026 9:49:03 AM